

CERN-TH/99-409
hep-th/9912175

Probing Solitons in Brane Worlds

Donam Youm¹

Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211, Geneva 23, Switzerland

Abstract

We study dynamics of a probe p -brane and a test particle in the field background of fully localized solutions describing the source p -brane within the worldvolume of the source domain wall. We find that the probe dynamics in the background of the source p -brane in one lower dimensions is not reproduced, indicating that p -branes within the worldvolume of domain walls perhaps describe an exotic phase of p -branes in brane worlds. We speculate therefore that a $(p + 1)$ -brane where one of its longitudinal directions is along the direction transverse to the domain wall is the right description of the p -brane in the brane world with the expected properties.

CERN-TH/99-409
December, 1999

¹E-mail: Donam.Youm@cern.ch

1 Introduction

Recently, a lot of attention has been paid to the idea on non-compact compactification [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] since it was observed by Randall and Sundrum (RS) [9, 10, 11] that such old idea modified by the modern brane language can be applied to solve the hierarchy problem in particle physics. According to the RS model, our four-dimensional world is regarded as being confined within a (non-dilatonic) domain wall. In the RS model, the graviton Kaluza-Klein (KK) spectrum consists of a normalizable zero mode bound state (identified as the four-dimensional massless graviton) and a continuum of massive KK modes. Because the continuum of massive KK modes is extremely suppressed, Newton's $1/r^2$ law of four-dimensional gravity is reproduced with the correction from the massive KK modes being beyond the limit of current experimental precision.

In Ref. [12], it is found out that the RS model can be extended (with the exactly same structure of graviton KK spectrum) to the dilatonic domain wall case if the dilaton coupling parameter is sufficiently small. Dilatonic generalization (where the cosmological constant term in the action is multiplied by the dilaton factor) is a natural generalization of the RS model and is particularly interesting, because most of the massive and the gauged supergravity theories contain dilaton factor in the cosmological constant term. (Few of gauged supergravity theories that have cosmological constant terms without dilaton factor and therefore admit the AdS space as a solution are those obtained by compactifying the eleven-dimensional supergravity on S^4 or S^7 and the type-IIB supergravity on S^5 .) It has been observed [13, 14, 15, 16] that all the supersymmetric domain wall solutions that have so far been constructed within the five-dimensional gauged supergravity with non-dilatonic cosmological constant term have the undesirable exponentially increasing warp factor, rather than the exponentially decreasing warp factor (which enables nice trapping of gravity within the domain wall) of the RS model. Perhaps, the dilatonic generalization of the RS type model will provide with the supersymmetric embedding into the gauged supergravity theories. The dilatonic generalization also seems to be more desirable, because the most of the domain wall solutions obtained by compactifying (intersecting) branes in string theories are dilatonic. It is one of the purposes of this paper to elaborate more on the most of possible dilatonic domain walls in string theories and gauged supergravity theories in relation to the RS type model.

Since it is observed [10] that four-dimensional gravity is recovered within the domain wall of the RS model, it is of interest to study gravitating objects in domain walls to see whether lower-dimensional physics (in the worldvolume the domain walls) is reproduced. (Previous related works are, for example, Refs. [17, 18, 19, 20].) For this purpose, fully localized solutions describing extreme branes within the worldvolume of

extreme domain walls are constructed in Ref. [12]. It is a purpose of this paper to study dynamics of a probe p -brane and an uncharged test particle in such background. Unfortunately, the fields produced by such configurations have properties different from those produced by the corresponding branes in one lower dimensions. So, it seems that such localized solutions are not suitable for describing branes in lower-dimensional world with the right expected properties. On the other hand, existence of fully localized solutions where branes live within the worldvolume of domain walls seems to indicate that (if the RS model is a correct description of nature) such solutions may describe exotic phase of branes. We propose that the higher-dimensional origin of p -branes in one lower dimensions (with the right expected properties in one lower dimensions) should rather be identified as $(p+1)$ -branes where one of their longitudinal directions is along the direction transverse to the domain wall.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we summarize fully localized solution constructed in Ref. [12] and elaborate on possible dilatonic domain wall solutions in massive and gauged supergravity theories. In section 3, we study dynamics of a probe p -brane moving in the field background of the source p -brane living in the worldvolume of the D -dimensional source domain wall and compare it to the dynamics in the background of the $(D-1)$ -dimensional source p -brane. In section 4, we repeat the similar analysis with a test particle moving in the same backgrounds. The conclusion is given in section 5.

2 Dilatonic Domain Walls in Supergravity Theories

In this section, we discuss various extreme dilatonic domain wall solutions that occur in supergravity and string theories and localized solutions describing extreme p -brane within extreme dilatonic domain walls.

We begin by considering the following D -dimensional Einstein-frame action for the system of the graviton $g_{\mu\nu}^E$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$), the dilaton ϕ , the $(p+1)$ -form potential with the field strength $F_{p+2} = dA_{p+1}$ and the $(D-1)$ -form potential A_{D-1} with the field strength $F_D = dA_{D-1}$:

$$S_E = \frac{1}{2\kappa_D^2} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g^E} \left[\mathcal{R}_{g^E} - \frac{4}{D-2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot (p+2)!} e^{2a_p\phi} F_{p+2}^2 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot D!} e^{2a\phi} F_D^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where a_p and a are respectively the dilaton coupling parameters for the $(p+1)$ -form and the $(D-1)$ -form potentials. Through a Poincaré dualization, one can replace F_D with the cosmological constant Λ , thereby the kinetic term for A_{D-1} in the above action is replaced by the cosmological constant term $e^{-2a\phi} \Lambda$.

The solution to the field equations of the action (1) describing the extreme dilatonic

p -brane with the longitudinal coordinates $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_p)$ within the worldvolume of the extreme dilatonic domain wall with the longitudinal coordinates $(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{D-p-2})$, has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds_E^2 &= H^{\frac{4}{(D-2)\Delta}} \left[H_p^{-\frac{4(D-p-3)}{(D-2)\Delta_p}} (-dt^2 + dw_1^2 + \dots + dw_p^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + H_p^{\frac{4(p+1)}{(D-2)\Delta_p}} (dx_1^2 + \dots + dx_{D-p-2}^2) \right] + H^{\frac{4(D-1)}{(D-2)\Delta}} H_p^{\frac{4(p+1)}{(D-2)\Delta_p}} dy^2, \\
e^{2\phi} &= H^{\frac{(D-2)a}{\Delta}} H_p^{\frac{(D-2)a_p}{\Delta_p}}, \\
A_{tw_1 \dots w_p} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Delta_p}} (1 - H_p^{-1}), \quad A_{tw_1 \dots w_p x_1 \dots x_{D-p-2}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Delta}} (1 - H^{-1}). \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

The harmonic functions H_p and H for the p -brane and the domain wall satisfy the following coupled partial differential equations:

$$\partial_y^2 H_p + H \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^2 H_p = 0, \quad \partial_y^2 H = 0, \quad (3)$$

and therefore are given by [21, 12]:

$$H_p = 1 + \frac{Q_p}{\left[|\mathbf{x}|^2 + \frac{4}{9Q^2} (1 + Q|y|)^3 \right]^{\frac{3(D-p)-8}{6}}}, \quad H = 1 + Q|y|, \quad (4)$$

where Q in the harmonic function H is related to the cosmological constant term Λ as $\Lambda = -2Q^2/\Delta$.

Note, although derived from the field equations of the action of the specific form (1) with only metric, dilaton and form fields, the solution (2) with the harmonic functions (4) generically describe any extreme single-charged p -brane within the worldvolume of single-charged extreme domain wall in string theories, which has additional scalar fields originated from the internal components of metric and form potentials.

First of all, when viewed as a solution to the field equations of the action (1), the parameters Δ_p and Δ of the solution (2) take the following forms determined by the dilaton coupling parameter a_p or a , as well as by p and D :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_p &= \frac{(D-2)a_p^2}{2} + \frac{2(p+1)(D-p-3)}{D-2}, \\
\Delta &= \frac{(D-2)a^2}{2} - \frac{2(D-1)}{D-2}, \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

and the consistency of the field equations [22] or the no-force requirement [23] restricts the dilaton coupling parameters to satisfy the following constraint:

$$aa_p = \frac{4(p+1)}{(D-2)^2}. \quad (6)$$

In general, for p -brane solutions in string theories in $D < 10$, there are additional scalars associated with the internal components of the spacetime metric and form potentials, in addition to the D -dimensional string theory dilaton (defined in terms of the dilaton in ten dimensions and the determinant of the internal part of the metric), and the kinetic terms for the form potentials are multiplied not only by the dilaton (with an appropriate dilaton coupling parameter) but also by other scalars in some cases. Nevertheless, one can view the solution (2) as the metric $g_{\mu\nu}^E$, D -dimensional string theory dilaton ϕ and form fields A_{p+1} and A_{D-1} part of full supergravity solution describing the BPS p -brane within the BPS domain wall in a D -dimensional string theory ². In this case, the parameters Δ_p and Δ in the solution (2) are no longer restricted to take the forms (5) determined by the dilaton coupling parameters, p and D , and the dilaton coupling parameters a_p and a are not constrained by the relation (6). However, they take the forms determined by the type of charges that the solution carries and the number N of constituent branes, which have the same magnitude of charges.

To see this easily, we apply the Weyl-scaling transformation $g_{\mu\nu}^E = e^{-\frac{4}{D-2}\phi} g_{\mu\nu}$ to the solution (2). In this new frame, the Einstein term and the dilaton kinetic term of the Einstein-frame action (1) take the following string-frame form for a D -dimensional effective string theory action:

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa_D^2} \int d^D \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} [\mathcal{R}_g + 4\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi]. \quad (7)$$

This is the main reason why we choose to normalize the dilaton ϕ to have the coefficient $4/(D-2)$ in the dilaton kinetic term in the Einstein-frame action (1) instead of the usual canonical choice of $1/2$. In this “string-frame”, the spacetime metric for the extreme p -brane solution takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & H_p^{-\frac{4(D-p-3)-2(D-2)a_p}{(D-2)\Delta_p}} \left[-dt^2 + dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_p^2 \right] \\ & + H_p^{\frac{4(p+1)+2(D-2)a_p}{(D-2)\Delta_p}} \left[dy_1^2 + \cdots + dy_{D-p-1}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

By noting that in the KK compactification of string theories on any Ricci-flat manifolds with the KK gauge fields set equal to zero the D -dimensional string-frame metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$) is related to the ten-dimensional string-frame metric G_{MN} ($M, N = 0, 1, \dots, 9$) as $g_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}$, one can find generic expressions for a_p and Δ_p for any p -brane solutions in string theory on any Ricci-flat compactification manifolds.

²Of course, one can linearly combine scalar fields to bring the action to the form (1) with a single dilatonic scalar, but for the convenience of identifying string theory dilaton in the solutions we shall just regard the solution (2) as a part of full string theory solution, with ϕ being regarded as the D -dimensional string theory dilaton, rather than as a dilatonic scalar defined as a linear combination of all the scalars.

Namely, one can determine a_p and Δ_p for various cases by comparing the metric (8) to the D -dimensional uncompactified part of the ten-dimensional string-frame metric for (intersecting) BPS branes (with equal magnitudes of constituent branes). The resulting general rules for determining values of the parameters a_p and Δ_p in the p -brane solutions in D -dimensional string theories on any Ricci-flat manifolds are as follows:

- For a p -brane made out of N constituent branes, $\Delta_p = 4/N$.
- For a p -brane made out of N constituent branes of the same type of charges with the same magnitude, the values of the dilaton coupling parameter a_p are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_p &= \frac{D-2p-4}{D-2}, & \text{originated from intersecting D-branes} \\ a_p &= \frac{2(D-p-3)}{D-2}, & \text{originated from intersecting NS5-branes} \\ a_p &= -\frac{2(p+1)}{D-2}, & \text{originated from intersecting fundamental strings} \end{aligned}$$

- For a D -dimensional p -brane made out of N_i numbers of type i branes, where i stands for D-brane, NS5-brane or the fundamental string, with the same magnitude of charges, the dilaton coupling parameter a_p is the weighted average of the dilaton coupling parameters a_p^i of each type of constituent, namely,

$$a_p = \frac{\sum_i N_i a_p^i}{\sum_i N_i}, \quad (9)$$

where the expression for a_p^i for each case is given in the previous item.

The domain wall solutions in string theories can be constructed [24, 25, 26, 27] through the ordinary compactification of (intersecting) branes (with the same magnitudes of charges for the constituent branes) on a Ricci flat manifold combined with the Scherk-Schwarz compactification [28], which leads to the massive supergravity theories with the cosmological constant term. For such compactification, the parameters Δ and a in the domain wall solution take the forms corresponding to the $p = D - 2$ case of the BPS p -brane solutions discussed in the previous paragraph. Namely, the ordinary compactification on a Ricci-flat manifold combined with the Scherk-Schwarz compactification leads to the domain wall solutions with $\Delta = 4/N > 0$ ($N \in \mathbf{Z}^+$), only. On the other hand, the domain wall solutions of the massive supergravity theories which cannot be obtained through the Scherk-Schwarz type compactifications of the eleven- or ten-dimensional supergravity theory have $\Delta \neq 4/N$. (Generally, such domain wall solutions typically have $\Delta < 0$.) Some of such massive supergravity theories in $D < 10$ can be constructed through the Freund-Rubin compactification [29] of supergravity theories in $D = 10, 11$ on spheres. (It is also pointed out in Ref. [12] that

the combined Scherk-Schwarz type and spherical compactification³ of (intersecting) branes (with the equal magnitudes of the constituent brane charges) leads to dilatonic domain wall solutions with $\Delta \neq 4/N$, constructed in Refs. [32, 33, 34, 35].) Examples are gauged supergravities in $D = 7$ [36], $D = 6$ [37, 38] and $D = 5$ [39], and the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ gauged supergravity in $D = 4$ [40], whose domain wall solutions all have $\Delta = -2$. For example, the domain wall solutions of the gauged supergravity in $D = 7$ [36] [of the $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ gauged supergravity in $D = 4$ [40]] can be obtained by starting from the intersecting two NS5-branes on a line and then compactifying on S^3 [on $S^3 \times S^3$] in the near horizon region of one of the NS5-branes and far away from the other NS5-brane [in the near horizon of both of the NS5-branes] [31]. These exceptional cases are particularly interesting, because domain wall solutions in gauged supergravity theories (sometimes constructible through the spherical compactifications of branes in string theories) provide with dilatonic generalization [12] of the RS model [9, 10, 11]. Although it is found out [12] that dilatonic domain walls with $\Delta < -2$ have the KK graviton spectrum similar to that of the RS domain wall solution, thereby leading to the generalization of the RS model to the dilatonic domain walls with $\Delta < -2$, it may turn out that even in the $\Delta < 0$ case the boundary condition on the wave function at the location of the domain wall (due to the δ -function potential in the Schrödinger equation) excludes undesirable non-zero mode KK states to reproduce lower-dimensional gravity with extremely suppressed contribution from the non-zero graviton KK modes. To sum up, in order to construct domain wall solutions in string theories which generalize the RS model and supergravity solutions describing branes in such domain walls, one starts with (intersecting) branes in string theories and applies the Freund-Rubin compactification on spheres, along with the ordinary KK compactification when necessary.

3 Dynamics of a Probe p -Brane

In this section, we study dynamics of a probe p -brane moving in the background (2) of the source p -brane living in the brane world, comparing with the dynamics of a probe p -brane moving in the following $(D - 1)$ -dimensional source p -brane background:

$$ds_E^2 = H_p^{-\frac{4(D-p-4)}{(D-3)\Delta_p}} \left[-dt^2 + dw_1^2 + \cdots + dw_p^2 \right] + H_p^{\frac{4(p+1)}{(D-3)\Delta_p}} \left[dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_{D-p-2}^2 \right],$$

³In the spherical compactifications of branes, one goes to the near horizon region of the (intersecting) branes and compactifies all the angular coordinates of the (overall) transverse space in the spherical coordinates on a sphere. So, (intersecting) branes can be interpreted as interpolating between the Minkowski vacuum (at infinity) and the vacuum of gauged supergravity theory (in the near horizon region) [30, 31].

$$e^{2\phi} = H_p^{\frac{(D-3)a_p}{\Delta_p}}, \quad A_{tx_1 \dots x_p} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Delta_p}} (1 - H_p^{-1}), \quad (10)$$

where

$$H_p = 1 + \frac{Q_p}{|\mathbf{x}|^{D-p-4}}, \quad \Delta_p = \frac{(D-3)a_p^2}{2} + \frac{2(p+1)(D-p-4)}{D-3}. \quad (11)$$

The worldvolume action for a dilatonic p -brane with the following bulk action:

$$S_E = \frac{1}{2\kappa_D^2} \int d^D x \sqrt{-g^E} \left[\mathcal{R}_{g^E} - \frac{4}{D-2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot (p+2)!} e^{2a_p\phi} F_{p+2}^2 \right] \quad (12)$$

has the following form:

$$S_\sigma = -T_p \int d^{p+1} \xi \left[e^{-a_p\phi} \sqrt{-\det \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu g_{\mu\nu}^E} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_p}}{2} \frac{1}{(p+1)!} \epsilon^{a_1 \dots a_{p+1}} \partial_{a_1} X^{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{a_{p+1}} X^{\mu_{p+1}} A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \right], \quad (13)$$

where the target space fields $g_{\mu\nu}^E$, ϕ and $A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}}$ are the background fields (produced by the source brane) in which the probe p -brane with the target space coordinates X^μ ($\mu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$) and the worldvolume coordinates ξ^a ($a = 0, 1, \dots, p$) moves. Note, the scalar ϕ in the above is not the string theory dilaton, but is a linear combination of all the nontrivial scalars of the solution.

In the static gauge, in which $X^a = \xi^a$, the pull-back fields for the probe p -brane, oriented in the same way as the source p -brane, take the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{G}_{ab} &\equiv g_{\mu\nu}^E \partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu = g_{ab}^E + g_{ij}^E \partial_a X^i \partial_b X^j, \\ \hat{A}_{a_1 \dots a_{p+1}} &\equiv A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p+1}} \partial_{a_1} X^{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{a_{p+1}} X^{\mu_{p+1}} = A_{a_1 \dots a_{p+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where the indices $i, j = 1, \dots, D-p-1$ label the transverse space of the probe p -brane, i.e., $(X^i) = (x_1, \dots, x_{D-p-2}, y)$ in the notation of Eq. (2). So, the worldvolume action (13) takes the following form:

$$S_\sigma = -T_p \int d^{p+1} \xi \left[e^{-a_p\phi} \sqrt{-\det (g_{ab}^E + g_{ij}^E \partial_a X^i \partial_b X^j)} + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_p}}{2} A_{01 \dots p} \right]. \quad (15)$$

From now on, we assume that the target space transverse coordinates X^i for the probe p -brane depend on the time coordinate $\tau = \xi^0$ only, i.e., $X^i = X^i(\tau)$.

In the target space background (2) of the extreme p -brane localized within the domain wall, the probe p -brane action (15) takes the following form:

$$S_\sigma = -T_p \int d^{p+1} \xi \left[H_p^{-1} \sqrt{1 - H_p^{\frac{4}{\Delta_p}} v_{\parallel}^2 - H^{\frac{4}{\Delta}} H_p^{\frac{4}{\Delta_p}} v_{\perp}^2} + 1 - H_p^{-1} \right], \quad (16)$$

where $v_{\parallel}$ and $v_{\perp}$ are respectively the velocities of the probe p -brane in the longitudinal $\mathbf{x}$ and the transverse y directions of the domain wall:

$$|v_{\parallel}| \equiv \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^p \left(\frac{dx_i}{d\tau} \right)^2}, \quad v_{\perp} \equiv \frac{dy}{d\tau}, \quad (17)$$

and we used Eqs. (5) and (6), which hold for the dilatonic brane solution (2) to the field equations of the action (1), to simplify the expression. For the source-probe method [23, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45] to be valid, one has to assume that the source brane is much heavier than the probe brane so that the backreaction of the probe brane to the source brane can be negligible. So, the constant term in the harmonic function for the source p -brane can be neglected. One further assumes that the velocity of the probe p -brane is very small ($v_{\parallel} \approx 0 \approx v_{\perp}$) and changes very slowly so that the radiation will be negligible, allowing quasistatic evolution of the system described by the geodesic motion in the moduli space. In this limit, the probe action (16) is approximated to

$$S_{\sigma} = -m_p \int d\tau + \frac{m_p}{2} \int d\tau \left[H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} v_{\parallel}^2 + H^{\frac{4}{\Delta}} H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} v_{\perp}^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(v^4), \quad (18)$$

where m_p is the mass of the probe p -brane given by the product of the probe p -brane tension T_p and the volume factor resulting from the integration with respect to ξ^a ($a = 1, \dots, p$). So, one can see that the motion of the probe p -brane in the background (2) is given by the geodesic motion in the moduli space with the following moduli metric:

$$ds_p^2 = H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} d\mathbf{x} \cdot d\mathbf{x} + H^{\frac{4}{\Delta}} H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} dz^2. \quad (19)$$

The probe action and the moduli metric for a probe p -brane moving in the background (10) of the $(D-1)$ -dimensional source p -brane are also given by Eqs. (18) and (19) with $H = 1$ and H_p given in Eq. (11).

For the motion of the probe in the $\mathbf{x}$ -direction, we set all the angular momenta of the probe except one equal to zero. (The motion of the probe for the case with more than one non-zero angular momenta will be qualitatively the same.) Introducing the polar coordinates $(x, \theta_{\parallel})$ in the rotation plane associated with the non-zero angular momentum $J_{\parallel}$, one can express the velocity of the probe in the $\mathbf{x}$ -direction as $v_{\parallel}^2 = \dot{x}^2 + x^2 \dot{\theta}_{\parallel}^2$, where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to the time coordinate τ . Then, the canonical momenta $p_{\parallel}$ and $p_{\perp}$ along the x and y direction, the angular momentum $J_{\parallel}$ and the energy E of the probe p -brane are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\parallel} &= m_p H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} \dot{x}, & p_{\perp} &= m_p H^{\frac{4}{\Delta}} H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} \dot{y}, & J_{\parallel} &= m_p x^2 H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} \dot{\theta}_{\parallel}, \\ E &= \frac{m_p}{2} H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} v_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{m_p}{2} H^{\frac{4}{\Delta}} H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} v_{\perp}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{p_{\parallel}^2}{2m_p H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}}} + \frac{J_{\parallel}^2}{2m_p x^2 H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}}} + \frac{p_{\perp}^2}{2m_p H^{\frac{4}{\Delta}} H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}}}. \quad (20)$$

Note, when the motion of the probe is confined along the domain wall worldvolume direction, i.e. $v_{\perp} = 0$, the probe p -brane action (16) is independent of the harmonic function H for the domain wall, and therefore has the same form as that of a probe p -brane in the background (10) of the $(D-1)$ -dimensional source p -brane. However, the harmonic function H_p for the source p -brane within the D -dimensional domain wall has non-trivial modification due to the presence of the domain wall. This implies that the probe p -brane can distinguish between a $(D-1)$ -dimensional p -brane (without a domain wall) and a p -brane within a D -dimensional domain wall. Also, unfortunate and unexpected situation is that the harmonic function H_p for the source p -brane in Eq. (4) has the radial dependence of the form $H_p \sim |\mathbf{x}|^{-(D-p-8/3)}$ when restricted to the domain wall worldvolume directions (i.e., $y = \text{constant}$), rather than the usual $\sim |\mathbf{x}|^{-(D-p-4)}$ dependence of a typical p -brane in $D-1$ dimensions. Note, the latter dependence was expected because domain walls with suitable forms of warp factors are shown to effectively compactify the D -dimensional gravity to the $(D-1)$ -dimensional one through gravitational trapping [10], even if the space along the transverse direction of the domain walls is non-compact.

On the other hand, recently it is observed that unlike the case of the KK modes of the graviton, the KK zero mode of a massless $U(1)$ gauge boson is not localized on the lower-dimensional hypersurface of a domain wall but rather spreads over the extra dimensions [46] and the massive KK modes couple to fields on the boundary (at the wall) a lot more strongly than the zero mode [47, 46]. (We also expect that such exotic properties of the KK modes also hold for the generalization of a $U(1)$ gauge field, i.e. a $(p+1)$ -form potential, which a p -brane couples to.) Note, in such works, it is assumed that the $U(1)$ gauge field does not modify spacetime, ignoring the backreaction of the $U(1)$ field to the domain wall spacetime and therefore the domain wall spacetime providing with the static fixed background in which the $U(1)$ field lives. However, when the $U(1)$ charge becomes large enough for its gravitational backreaction to the domain wall spacetime to be non-negligible, such unusual properties of the $U(1)$ gauge field KK spectrum will manifest in the Reissner-Nordstrom black hole (which is just a spherically symmetric gravitating object with non-zero $U(1)$ charge) in one lower dimensions. We believe that this is the main reason for the above mentioned unusual dependence of the p -brane harmonic function on the radial coordinate. So, the solution (2) may correctly describe a p -brane in one lower dimensions whose higher-dimensional origin is a p -brane living inside of the domain wall worldvolume, and the existence of such p -branes with such unusual radial dependence of fields in our world may provide with an evidence that our world is embedded inside of the domain wall.

Therefore, a charged p -brane in a $(D - 1)$ -dimensional world (embedded in a D -dimensional domain wall) with the expected radial dependence might have to be regarded as a $(p + 1)$ -brane in D dimensions where one of its longitudinal directions is along the transverse direction of the domain wall. (So, in particular, a massless $U(1)$ field in the brane world might have to be regarded as a two-form potential in one higher dimensions.) The configuration is given in the following table.

	t	$\mathbf{w}$	$\mathbf{x}$	y
brane	•	•		•
domain wall	•	•	•	

Here, t is the time coordinate, $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_p)$ and y are the longitudinal coordinates of the $(p + 1)$ -brane, and $\mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{D-p-2})$ are the longitudinal coordinates of the domain wall. This point of view is also taken in Ref. [17], where it is argued that the Schwarzschild black hole in four-dimensional world within a domain wall should be regarded as a black string in five dimensions. In fact, since the harmonic function for such $(p + 1)$ -brane is a harmonic function in a $(D - p - 2)$ -dimensional (conformally) flat space with the coordinate $\mathbf{x}$, the harmonic function for the p -brane in one lower dimensions will have the expected radial dependence $\sim |\mathbf{x}|^{-(D-p-4)}$.

Before we will construct such solutions in our future work, it would be of interest to check the existence of such solutions and find out about the constraint on the dilaton coupling parameters by analyzing the no-force condition [23] on the probe $(p + 1)$ -brane in the background of the source domain wall with the configuration given in the above table. The worldvolume action for the probe $(p + 1)$ -brane in the background of the domain wall is

$$S_\sigma = -T_{p+1} \int d^{p+2} e^{-a_{p+1}\phi} \sqrt{-\det(g_{ab}^E + g_{ij}^E \partial_a X^i \partial_b X^j)}, \quad (21)$$

where ϕ and $g_{\mu\nu}^E$ are the fields produced by the source domain wall and the Wess-Zumino term does not contribute in this case. By expanding the action (21) in powers of derivatives of X^i , one obtains the following effective static potential on the probe:

$$V = e^{-a_{p+1}\phi} \sqrt{-g_{tt}^E g_{w_1 w_1}^E \dots g_{w_p w_p}^E g_{yy}^E} = H^{\frac{4(D+p)-(D-2)^2 a a_{p+1}}{2(D-2)\Delta}}. \quad (22)$$

So, the force between the probe and the source is balanced, when the following constraint on the dilaton coupling parameters is satisfied:

$$a a_{p+1} = \frac{4(D+p)}{(D-2)^2}. \quad (23)$$

In particular, such configuration is not possible for the non-dilatonic domain walls ($a = 0$), just like the case of the p -brane within the domain worldvolume ⁴.

⁴This is one of the reasons why we considered dilatonic domain walls in our previous work [12].

In the following subsections, we analyze the geodesic motion of the probe p -brane along the domain wall ($v_\perp = 0$) and perpendicularly to the domain wall ($v_\parallel = 0$), separately.

3.1 The motion of the probe along the domain wall

In this subsection, we study the dynamics of the probe p -brane along the direction $\mathbf{x}$ transverse to the source p -brane but is confined to the worldvolume directions of the domain wall. In this case, $v_\perp = 0$, i.e., the overall transverse coordinate z is constant in time. We also study the dynamics of a probe p -brane moving in the background (10) of the $(D-1)$ -dimensional source p -brane for the purpose of comparison with the former case.

The dynamic quantities of the probe p -brane are

$$\begin{aligned} p_\parallel &= m_p H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} \dot{x}, & J_\parallel &= m_p x^2 H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} \dot{\theta}_\parallel, \\ E &= \frac{m_p}{2} H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} v_\parallel^2 = \frac{p_\parallel^2}{2m_p H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}}} + \frac{J_\parallel^2}{2m_p x^2 H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the source p -brane harmonic function is $H_p \approx Q_p / [x^2 + \frac{4}{9Q^2}(1 + Q|y|)^3]^{\frac{3(D-p)-8}{6}}$ for the former case and $H_p \approx Q_p / x^{D-p-4}$ for the latter case. For the incoming probe p -brane with the asymptotic velocity v and the impact parameter b , the asymptotic values (at infinite distance from the wall) of the dynamic quantities are $E = m_p v^2/2$, $p_\parallel = m_p v$ and $J_\parallel = b m_p v$. The geodesic motion of such probe p -brane is then described by the following probe velocity $\dot{x}$ along the radial direction x , obtained by solving (24):

$$\left| \frac{dx}{d\tau} \right| = H_p^{\frac{\Delta_p-4}{\Delta_p}} \sqrt{2m_p E H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} - \frac{J_\parallel^2}{m_p^2 x^2}} = v H_p^{\frac{\Delta_p-4}{\Delta_p}} \sqrt{H_p^{\frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p}} - \frac{b^2}{x^2}}. \quad (25)$$

First, we consider the motion in the background (10) of the $(D-1)$ -dimensional source p -brane. There exists the critical value of $n \equiv (D-p-4)(4-\Delta_p)/\Delta_p$ below and above which the motion of the probe is qualitatively different. When $n < 2$, the probe will approach the source with decreasing speed, stop at the turning point $x = x_c = (b^2/Q_p^{(4-\Delta_p)/\Delta_p})^{1/(2-n)}$, and re-emerge. When $n = 2$, the probe will approach the source monotonically and be ultimately captured by the source, as long as $Q_p^{(4-\Delta_p)/\Delta_p} > b^2$. When $n < 2$, the radial motion of the probe is the confined within the interval $0 \leq x \leq x_c$, where $x_c = (b^2/Q_p^{(4-\Delta_p)/\Delta_p})^{1/(n-2)}$ is the turning point, and the probe will be in the end captured by the source. On the other hand, for the motion in the background (2) of the source p -brane living in the worldvolume of the source domain wall, the probe will never be captured by the source. When $n' \equiv \frac{3(D-p)-8}{6} \frac{4-\Delta_p}{\Delta_p} < 1$,

the probe will approach the source with decreasing speed, stop at the turning point and be scattered away. When $n' > 1$, the radial motion of the probe will be confined to the finite interval away from the source, for suitable values of parameters.

3.2 The motion of the probe perpendicularly to the domain wall

For the motion of the probe in the direction perpendicular to the domain wall, we just consider the background without the source p -brane for the purpose of seeing whether the domain wall will trap the p -brane. In this case, the probe p -brane action is given by Eq. (16) with $H_p = 1$ and $v_{\parallel} = 0$. Therefore, the energy E of the probe p -brane is

$$E = \frac{m_p}{1 - H^{\frac{4}{\Delta}} v_{\perp}^2}, \quad (26)$$

from which we can obtain the following speed of the probe in the direction perpendicular to the wall:

$$|v_{\perp}| = \frac{\sqrt{E^2 - m_p^2}}{E(1 + Q|y|)^{\frac{2}{\Delta}}}, \quad (27)$$

and the effective potential on the probe:

$$W(y) = 1 - \frac{m_p(E^2 - m_p^2)}{2E^2(1 + Q|y|)^{\frac{4}{\Delta}}}. \quad (28)$$

So, the velocity of the probe will never be zero at the domain wall (located at $y = 0$), if $E > m_p$. When $\Delta > 0$, in which case the matter is trapped but the gravity is not, the probe p -brane will approach the domain wall with increasing speed and pass through the wall and slow down, approaching zero velocity asymptotically, implying that the domain wall attracts the probe p -brane. When $\Delta < 0$, in which case the matter is not trapped, as the probe approaches the domain wall, its speed decreases, and after the probe passes through the wall its speed will increase, implying that the domain wall repels the probe p -brane.

4 Dynamics of a Test Particle

In this section, we study the dynamics of an uncharged test particle in the background (2) of the source p -brane living inside of the D -dimensional domain wall and in the background (10) of the source p -brane in $D - 1$ dimensions. Since one of the main purposes of such study is to compare the dynamics in these two backgrounds, we shall be interested in the motion along the direction $\mathbf{x}$ transverse to the source p -brane and restricted to the worldvolume directions of the domain wall.

Generally, the motion of a test particle under the influence of the gravitational field $g_{\mu\nu}$ is described by the geodesic equation

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu \frac{dx^\rho}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\lambda} = 0, \quad (29)$$

where $\Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\mu$ is the Christoffel symbol for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $x^\mu(\lambda)$ is the geodesic path of the test particle parameterized by an affine parameter λ . One can avoid having to solve these complicated coupled equations by utilizing the symmetry of spacetime in which the test particle moves. For each Killing vector K^μ of spacetime, one can define a constant of motion of the test particle by contracting it with the velocity $U^\mu = dx^\mu/d\lambda$ of the test particle along the geodesic path $x^\mu(\lambda)$. For the metrics under consideration in this section, the Killing vectors $\partial/\partial t$, $\partial/\partial w_i$ and $\partial/\partial \phi_m$ give rise to the following constants of motion for the test particle:

$$\begin{aligned} E &= -g_{\mu\nu}^E \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right)^\mu U^\nu = -g_{tt}^E \frac{dt}{d\lambda}, \\ p^i &= g_{\mu\nu}^E \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \right)^\mu U^\nu = g_{ii}^E \frac{dw_i}{d\lambda}, \\ J^m &= g_{\mu\nu}^E \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_m} \right)^\mu U^\nu = g_{\phi_m \phi_m}^E \frac{d\phi_m}{d\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where the angular coordinates $0 \leq \phi_m < 2\pi$ ($m = 1, \dots, [(D-p-2)/2]$) are associated with $[(D-p-2)/2]$ rotation planes in $(D-p-2)$ -dimensional space with the coordinates $\mathbf{x}$. In addition, there is another constant of motion associated with metric compatibility along the geodesic path:

$$\epsilon = -g_{\mu\nu}^E \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda}, \quad (31)$$

where $\epsilon = +1, 0, -1$ respectively for a massive particle (i.e. a timelike geodesic), a massless particle (i.e. a null geodesic) and a spacelike geodesic (even though it does not correspond to the physical path of a particle).

For the test particle moving along the $\mathbf{x}$ -direction, i.e., only the $\mathbf{x}$ -component of U^μ is non-zero, the geodesic motion is described by the following probe velocity along the radial direction x resulting from solving Eqs. (30) and (31):

$$\left| \frac{dx}{d\lambda} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{xx}^E}} \sqrt{-\frac{E^2}{g_{tt}^E} - \frac{(J^m)^2}{g_{\phi_m \phi_m}^E}} - \epsilon, \quad (32)$$

where $\epsilon = 1, 0$ for a massive and a massless test particle and the index m is summed over $m = 1, \dots, [(D-p-2)/2]$. By plugging the explicit expressions for the background metrics of the source branes into the above general expression, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{dx}{d\lambda} \right| = H_p^{-\frac{2(p+1)}{(D-3)\Delta_p}} \sqrt{E^2 H_p^{\frac{4(D-p-4)}{(D-3)\Delta_p}} - \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{x^2 H_p^{\frac{4(p+1)}{(D-3)\Delta_p}}}} - \epsilon, \quad (33)$$

for the $(D - 1)$ -dimensional source p -brane with H_p given in Eq. (11), and

$$\left| \frac{dx}{d\lambda} \right| = H^{-\frac{2}{(D-2)\Delta}} H_p^{-\frac{2(p+1)}{(D-2)\Delta_p}} \sqrt{H^{-\frac{4}{(D-2)\Delta}} \left(E^2 H_p^{\frac{4(D-p-3)}{(D-2)\Delta_p}} - \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{x^2 H_p^{\frac{4(p+1)}{(D-2)\Delta_p}}} \right) - \epsilon}, \quad (34)$$

for the source p -brane in the D -dimensional domain wall with H_p given in Eq. (4). Note, the domain wall harmonic function H is just a constant since we consider the motion along the longitudinal directions of the domain wall. Here, $\mathcal{J}$ is defined in terms of the conserved angular momenta J^m of the test particle as

$$\mathcal{J}^2 \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{[\frac{D-p-2}{2}]} \frac{(L^m)^2}{\mu_m^2}, \quad (35)$$

where μ_m (whose explicit expressions are given in Ref. [48]) are the direction cosines specifying the direction of r and are constant due to conservation of the direction of angular momentum (therefore $\mathcal{J}$ is also constant). By inspecting the expressions (33) and (34) for the probe velocities, one can see the following properties for the test particle's motion. First of all, the asymptotic velocity of the test particle, as it approaches the p -brane, is always non-zero and finite for the background (2) of p -brane in the D -dimensional domain wall, but is either zero or infinite for the background (10) of $(D - 1)$ -dimensional p -brane. The massless test particle ($\epsilon = 0$) will always approach the p -brane. But for the massive test particle ($\epsilon = 1$), whereas the test particle in the background (10) will always approach the p -brane, the test particle in the background (2) can be scattered away at finite distance from the p -brane for suitable values of the parameters.

For the completeness, we just write down the equation describing geodesic motion of a test particle along the y -direction in the background of the source p -brane living inside of the domain wall, although it seems hard to solve the equation to obtain the expression for the geodesic path. This can be achieved by considering the geodesic equation (29) and Eq. (31) with only y -component of U^μ non-zero, resulting in the following equation:

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(g_{yy}^E \frac{dy}{d\lambda} \right) = -\frac{\epsilon}{2g_{yy}^E} \frac{\partial g_{yy}^E}{\partial y}, \quad (36)$$

where $g_{yy}^E = H^{\frac{4(D-1)}{(D-2)\Delta}} H_p^{\frac{4(p+1)}{(D-2)\Delta_p}}$.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we studied dynamics of probes in the background of extreme dilatonic p -branes localized within the worldvolume of extreme dilatonic domain walls, for the

purpose of studying spacetime properties of such branes in comparison with that of the extreme dilatonic p -branes in one lower dimensions. We found that the probe dynamics in these two gravitating backgrounds do not agree. We speculated that such disagreement is due to the unusual properties of $U(1)$ gauge fields and presumably form potentials that their zero modes are not confined within the domain wall. This led to the speculation that an ordinary dilatonic p -brane, as observed on the hypersurface of the domain wall, should rather be regarded as a dilatonic $(p + 1)$ -brane in the bulk of domain wall, where one of the longitudinal directions of the brane is along the direction transverse to the domain wall. On the other hand, the existence of a solution describing a p -brane localized within the domain wall worldvolume implies that such a solution may have some physical significance in our world, if the RS type model is the true description our nature. We also discussed the possible higher-dimensional embeddings of extreme dilatonic domain wall solutions as (intersecting) branes in string theories. We found that the dilatonic domain wall solutions that can be used for the RS type scenario can be obtained through the Freund-Rubin compactification of the (intersecting) branes on sphere(s). It seems that dilatonic domain walls are more convenient and advantageous than the non-dilatonic domain wall of the original RS model [9, 10, 11] for realizing the RS type scenario, because (i) the bulk background of the non-dilatonic domain wall does not allow charged branes and (ii) the most of domain walls obtained by compactifying (intersecting) branes in string theories are dilatonic.

References

- [1] D.W. Joseph, “Coordinate covariance and the particle spectrum,” *Phys. Rev.* **126** (1962) 319.
- [2] K. Akama, “Pregeometry. (Talk),” *In *Nara 1982, Proceedings, Gauge Theory and Gravitation**, 267-271, hep-th/0001113.
- [3] V.A. Rubakov and M.E. Shaposhnikov, “Do we live inside a domain wall?,” *Phys. Lett.* **B125** (1983) 136.
- [4] V.A. Rubakov and M.E. Shaposhnikov, “Extra space-time dimensions: towards a solution to the cosmological constant problem,” *Phys. Lett.* **B125** (1983) 139.
- [5] M. Visser, “An exotic class of Kaluza-Klein models,” *Phys. Lett.* **B159** (1985) 22, hep-th/9910093.
- [6] E.J. Squires, “Dimensional reduction caused by a cosmological constant,” *Phys. Lett.* **B167** (1986) 286.
- [7] P. Laguna-Castillo and R.A. Matzner, “Surfaces of discontinuity in five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein cosmologies,” *Nucl. Phys.* **B282** (1987) 542.

- [8] G.W. Gibbons and D.L. Wiltshire, “Space-time as a membrane in higher dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. **B287** (1987) 717.
- [9] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, “A large mass hierarchy from a small extra dimension,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3370, hep-ph/9905221.
- [10] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, “An alternative to compactification,” hep-th/9906064.
- [11] J. Lykken and L. Randall, “The shape of gravity,” hep-th/9908076.
- [12] D. Youm, “Solitons in brane worlds,” hep-th/9911218.
- [13] K. Behrndt and M. Cvetič, “Supersymmetric domain wall world from $D = 5$ simple gauged supergravity,” hep-th/9909058.
- [14] K. Skenderis and P.K. Townsend, “Gravitational stability and renormalization-group flow,” Phys. Lett. **B468** (1999) 46, hep-th/9909070.
- [15] A. Chamblin and G.W. Gibbons, “Supergravity on the brane,” hep-th/9909130.
- [16] R. Kallosh, A. Linde and M. Shmakova, “Supersymmetric multiple basin attractors,” JHEP **11** (1999) 010, hep-th/9910021.
- [17] A. Chamblin, S.W. Hawking and H.S. Reall, “Brane-world black holes,” hep-th/9909205.
- [18] R. Emparan, G.T. Horowitz and R.C. Myers, “Exact description of black holes on branes,” hep-th/9911043.
- [19] J. Garriga and M. Sasaki, “Brane-world creation and black holes,” hep-th/9912118.
- [20] R. Emparan, G.T. Horowitz and R.C. Myers, “Exact description of black holes on branes II: comparison with BTZ black holes and black strings,” hep-th/9912135.
- [21] D. Youm, “Partially localized intersecting BPS branes,” hep-th/9902208.
- [22] R. Argurio, F. Englert and L. Houart, “Intersection rules for p -branes,” Phys. Lett. **B398** (1997) 61, hep-th/9701042.
- [23] A.A. Tseytlin, “‘No-force’ condition and BPS combinations of p -branes in eleven and ten dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. **B487** (1997) 141, hep-th/9609212.
- [24] E. Bergshoeff, M. de Roo, M.B. Green, G. Papadopoulos and P.K. Townsend, “Duality of Type II 7-branes and 8-branes,” Nucl. Phys. **B470** (1996) 113, hep-th/9601150.
- [25] P.M. Cowdall, H. Lu, C.N. Pope, K.S. Stelle and P.K. Townsend, “Domain walls in massive supergravities,” Nucl. Phys. **B486** (1997) 49, hep-th/9608173.
- [26] H. Lu and C.N. Pope, “Domain walls from M-branes,” Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 1087, hep-th/9611079.
- [27] I.V. Lavrinenko, H. Lu and C.N. Pope, “From topology to generalized dimensional reduction,” Nucl. Phys. **B492** (1997) 278, hep-th/9611134.
- [28] J. Scherk and J.H. Schwarz, “Spontaneous breaking of supersymmetry through dimensional reduction,” Phys. Lett. **82B** (1979) 60.
- [29] P.G. Freund and M.A. Rubin, “Dynamics of dimensional reduction,” Phys. Lett. **B97** (1980) 233.

- [30] G.W. Gibbons and P.K. Townsend, “Vacuum interpolation in supergravity via super p -branes,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3754, hep-th/9307049.
- [31] P.M. Cowdall and P.K. Townsend, “Gauged supergravity vacua from intersecting branes,” Phys. Lett. **B429** (1998) 281, hep-th/9801165, and references therein.
- [32] H. Lu, C.N. Pope, E. Sezgin and K.S. Stelle, “Dilatonic p -brane solitons,” Phys. Lett. **B371** (1996) 46, hep-th/9511203.
- [33] H. Lu, C.N. Pope and P.K. Townsend, “Domain walls from anti-de Sitter spacetime,” Phys. Lett. **B391** (1997) 39, hep-th/9607164.
- [34] H.J. Boonstra, K. Skenderis and P.K. Townsend, “The domain wall/QFT correspondence,” JHEP **01** (1999) 003, hep-th/9807137.
- [35] K. Behrndt, E. Bergshoeff, R. Halbersma and J.P. van der Schaar, “On domain-wall/QFT dualities in various dimensions,” Class. Quant. Grav. **16** (1999) 3517, hep-th/9907006.
- [36] P.K. Townsend and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Gauged seven-dimensional supergravity,” Phys. Lett. **B125** (1983) 41.
- [37] M.A. Awada and P.K. Townsend, “Gauged $N = 4$ $D = 6$ Maxwell-Einstein supergravity and ‘antisymmetric tensor Chern-Simons’ forms,” Phys. Rev. **D33** (1985) 1557.
- [38] L.J. Romans, “The $F(4)$ gauged supergravity in six dimensions,” Nucl. Phys. **B269** (1986) 691.
- [39] M. Günaydin, G. Sierra and P.K. Townsend, “Vanishing potentials in gauged $N = 2$ supergravity: an application of Jordan algebras,” Phys. Lett. **B144** (1984) 41.
- [40] D.Z. Freedman and J.H. Schwarz, “ $N = 4$ supergravity theory with local $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ invariance,” Nucl. Phys. **B137** (1978) 333.
- [41] M. Douglas, J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, “Probing five-dimensional black holes with D-branes,” JHEP **9712** (1997) 003, hep-th/9703031.
- [42] H. Liu and A.A. Tseytlin, “Statistical mechanics of D0-branes and black hole thermodynamics,” JHEP **9801** (1998) 010, hep-th/9712063.
- [43] E. Kiritsis and T.R. Taylor, “Thermodynamics of D-brane probes,” hep-th/9906048.
- [44] E. Kiritsis, “Supergravity, D-brane probes and thermal super Yang-Mills: A comparison,” JHEP **9910** (1999) 010, hep-th/9906206.
- [45] A. Kehagias and E. Kiritsis, “Mirage cosmology,” JHEP **9911** (1999) 022, hep-th/9910174.
- [46] A. Pomarol, “Gauge bosons in a five-dimensional theory with localized gravity,” hep-ph/9911294.
- [47] H. Davoudiasl, J.L. Hewett and T.G. Rizzo, “Bulk gauge fields in the Randall-Sundrum model,” hep-ph/9911262.
- [48] M. Cvetič and D. Youm, “Near-BPS-saturated rotating electrically charged black holes as string states,” Nucl. Phys. **B477** (1996) 449, hep-th/9605051.